

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION, a Maryland corporation; and BLACK & DECKER (U.S.) INC., a Maryland corporation,

CASE NO. 2:25-mc-00030-JHC

ORDER DENYING APPLICATIONS FOR WRIT OF GARNISHMENT

Plaintiffs,

V.

HSIN TING YEH, an individual; ANTHONY CHEN, an individual; and DOES 1-10,

Defendants,

and

AMERICAN EXPRESS NATIONAL BANK,
a financial institution,

Garnishee Defendant.

Case No. 2:25-mc-00031-JHC

AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION, a Maryland corporation; and BLACK &

1 DECKER (U.S.) INC., a Maryland
2 corporation,

3 Plaintiffs,

4 v.

5 HSIN TING YEH, an individual; ANTHONY
6 CHEN, an individual; and DOES 1-10,

7 Defendants,

8 and

9 BANCORP BANK NA, a financial institution,

10 Garnishee Defendant.

11
12 AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware
13 corporation; AMAZON.COM SERVICES
14 LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
15 THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION,
16 a Maryland corporation; and BLACK &
17 DECKER (U.S.) INC., a Maryland
18 corporation,

Case No. 2:25-mc-00032-JHC

19 Plaintiffs,

20 v.

21 HSIN TING YEH, an individual; ANTHONY
22 CHEN, an individual; and DOES 1-10,

23 Defendants,

24 and

BANK OF AMERICA NA, a financial
institution,

Garnishee Defendant.

1
2 AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware
3 corporation; AMAZON.COM SERVICES
4 LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
5 THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION,
6 a Maryland corporation; and BLACK &
7 DECKER (U.S.) INC., a Maryland
8 corporation,

Case No. 2:25-mc-00033-JHC

6 Plaintiffs,

7 v.

8 HSIN TING YEH, an individual; ANTHONY
9 CHEN, an individual; and DOES 1-10,

10 Defendants,

11 and

12 CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL
13 CORPORATION, a financial institution,

14 Garnishee Defendant.

15 AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware
16 corporation; AMAZON.COM SERVICES
17 LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
18 THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION,
19 a Maryland corporation; and BLACK &
20 DECKER (U.S.) INC., a Maryland
21 corporation,

Case No. 2:25-mc-00034-JHC

20 Plaintiffs,

21 v.

22 HSIN TING YEH, an individual; ANTHONY
23 CHEN, an individual; and DOES 1-10,

Defendants,

and

JP MORGAN CHASE BANK NA, a financial institution,

Garnishee Defendant.

AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION, a Maryland corporation; and BLACK & DECKER (U.S.) INC., a Maryland corporation,

Case No. 2:25-mc-00035-JHC

Plaintiffs,

V.

HSIN TING YEH, an individual; ANTHONY CHEN, an individual; and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

and

CTBC BANK CORP (USA), a financial institution,

Garnishee Defendant.

AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION, a Maryland corporation; and BLACK & DECKER (U.S.) INC., a Maryland corporation.

Case No. 2:25-mc-00036-JHC

Plaintiffs,

V.

HSIN TING YEH, an individual; ANTHONY CHEN, an individual; and DOES 1-10,

Defendants,

and

GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA, a financial institution,

Garnishee Defendant.

AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION, a Maryland corporation; and BLACK & DECKER (U.S.) INC., a Maryland corporation,

Case No. 2:25-mc-00037-JHC

Plaintiffs,

V.

HSIN TING YEH, an individual; ANTHONY CHEN, an individual; and DOES 1-10,

Defendants

PNC BANK USA, a financial institution,

Garnishee Defendant.

AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION, a Maryland corporation; and BLACK &

Case No. 2:25-mc-00038-JHC

1 DECKER (U.S.) INC., a Maryland
2 corporation,

3 Plaintiffs,

4 v.

5 HSIN TING YEH, an individual; ANTHONY
6 CHEN, an individual; and DOES 1-10,

7 Defendants,

8 CHARLES SCHWAB & CO, INC., a financial
9 institution,

10 Garnishee Defendant.

11 AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware
12 corporation; AMAZON.COM SERVICES
13 LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
14 THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION,
a Maryland corporation; and BLACK &
DECKER (U.S.) INC., a Maryland
corporation,

Case No. 2:25-mc-00039-JHC

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 HSIN TING YEH, an individual; ANTHONY
18 CHEN, an individual; and DOES 1-10,

19 Defendants,

20 WELLS FARGO BANK NA, a financial
21 institution,

22 Garnishee Defendant.

1 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' Applications for Writs of Garnishment
2 in the above-captioned cases. The Court DENIES Plaintiffs' applications without prejudice for
3 these reasons:

4 First, “[a]n answer form as prescribed in RCW 6.27.190” must be served together with a
5 writ of garnishment. RCW 6.27.110(1)(a). RCW 6.27.190(3) provides, “If the writ is not
6 directed to an employer for the purpose of garnishing the defendant’s wages, the answer shall be
7 substantially in the following form,” and, as pertinent here:

8 SECTION I. On the date the writ of garnishment was issued as indicated by the
9 date appearing on the last page of the writ:

10 (A) The defendant: (check one) was, was not employed by
11 garnishee. If not employed and you have no possession or control of any
12 funds of defendant, indicate the last day of employment:; and
13 complete section III of this answer and mail or deliver the forms as directed
14 in the writ;

15 (B) The defendant: (check one) did, did not maintain a financial
16 account with garnishee; and

17 (C) The garnishee: (check one) did, did not have possession of or
18 control over any funds, personal property, or effects of the defendant. (List
19 all of defendant’s personal property or effects in your possession or control
20 on the last page of this answer form or attach a schedule if necessary.)

21 Plaintiffs’ answer forms include subsection (B) but do not include subsections (A) and (C). *See*
22 Case No. MC25-30, Dkt. # 5-2 at 7–8.

23 Second, writs directed to financial institutions must meet the requirements of RCW
24 6.27.080. RCW 6.27.080(1) provides:

25 A writ of garnishment directed to a bank, savings and loan association, or credit
26 union that maintains branch offices shall identify either a particular branch of the
27 financial institution or the financial institution as the garnishee defendant. The head
28 office of a financial institution shall be considered a separate branch for purposes
29 of this section.

30 The proposed writs of garnishment do not identify specific branches of the financial institutions
31 to which they are directed. Although the applications for writs of garnishment include addresses
32

1 for service, these addresses should be included in the proposed writs. *See* Case No. 25-mc-
2 00030, Dkt. # 5-2 at 1-6.

3 Third, because the judgment debtors are individuals, RCW 6.27.130(1)(b) requires
4 service of a notice and claim form as prescribed in RCW 6.27.140. Such forms are not included.

5 Fourth, in case No. 25-mc-00038-JHC, an updated Application for Writ of Garnishment
6 has not been filed since the Court denied the original application. *See* Dkt. # 4.

7 Plaintiffs may file the amended applications by August 5, 2025. If the Court does not
8 receive the amended applications by that date, it will direct the Clerk to close this matter.

9 Dated this 22nd day of July, 2025.

10 

11 John H. Chun
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24